Non-Commutative space-time and Hausdorff dimension

Anjana V.,[1,*] E. Harikumar,[1,†] and A. K. Kapoor[2,‡]

[1]*School of Physics, University of Hyderabad,*
Central University P O, Hyderabad, Telangana, 500046, India

[2]*School of Basic Sciences, Indian Institute of Technology, Bhubaneswar, Odisha, 751007, India*

(Dated: April 25, 2017)

We study the Hausdorff dimension of the path of a quantum particle in non-commutative space-time. We show that the Hausdorff dimension depends on the deformation parameter a and the resolution Δx for both non-relativistic and relativistic quantum particle. For the non-relativistic case, it is seen that Hausdorff dimension is always less than two in the non-commutative space-time. For relativistic quantum particle, we find the Hausdorff dimension increases with the non-commutative parameter, in contrast to the commutative space-time. We show that non-commutative correction to Dirac equation brings in the spinorial nature of the relativistic wave function into play, unlike in the commutative space-time. By imposing self-similarity condition on the path of non-relativistic and relativistic quantum particle in non-commutative space-time, we derive the corresponding generalised uncertainty relation.

arXiv:1704.07105v1 [hep-th] 24 Apr 2017

One of the outstanding issues in physics is the question of gravity at high energies or equivalently at extremely short distance. There are various approaches to construct a quantum theory of gravity, such as string theory [1], asymptotically safe gravity [2], non-commutative geometry [3–5], loop gravity [6], causal dynamical triangulation [7]. Many of these approaches indicate a change in space-time dimension at high energies [6–14]. This change in the dimension, referred as dimensional flow has been analysed for various models. Some of these studies analysed the spectral dimension of κ-space-time, using return probability of diffusion process defined in the corresponding space-time [15–17]. Some of these studies led to investigations of multi-scale space-time [18, 19], fractional space-time and their relevance to quantum gravity. Another notion of dimension known as Hausdorff dimension which gives the dimensionality of paths travelled by quantum mechanical particles moving on such quantum space-time has been analysed in [20].

In this paper, we investigate the dimension of the path of a particle moving in space-time whose coordinates satisfy a Lie algebraic type commutation relation. The coordinates of this kappa-space-time obey the

*Electronic address: anjanaganga@gmail.com
†Electronic address: harisp@uohyd.ernet.in
‡Electronic address: akkapoor@iitbbs.ac.in

following commutation relations

$$[\hat{x}_0, \hat{x}_i] = ia\hat{x}_i, \qquad [\hat{x}_i, \hat{x}_j] = 0. \tag{1}$$

This space-time naturally appear in the context of loop gravity [21] and deformed special relativity [22].

Dimensional flow in this κ-space-time has been studied by constructing and analysing diffusion equations in [15–17]. The same has been analysed using different approaches in [11–13, 23]. In studying spectral dimension of κ-space-time, a modification of integration measure is used in [13], where as the same was calculated using the solution of κ-deformed diffusion equation [15–17]. In both the approaches, it was noted that the spectral dimension reduces with the probe scale.

In [24], dimension of path of particle obeying Schrodinger equation was analysed. This is done by first defining the path of a non-relativistic quantum mechanical particle as follows; the expectation value of the position operator is measured at equal intervals of time Δt. Now joining the neighbouring points where the particle is localised at times $n\Delta t$ and $(n + 1)\Delta t$ (where n is an integer) and repeating this for all the intervals (i.e., for n = 1, 2, 3, ...), one gets a curve made up of $(n - 1)$ line segments. This curve is defined as the path followed by the quantum mechanical particle. This definition, naturally leads to dependence of the length of the path to the resolution of the measuring instrument. But one would like to have a definition of length of the path which is independent of the resolution of instrument. The path of quantum mechanical particle as defined above [24] is clearly an everywhere continuous but nowhere differentiable curve which is known as Koch curve, in the study of fractals [25, 26]. Length of such fractal curves which is independent of the resolution of the measuring instrument has been introduced by Hausdorff and using this, dimension of quantum mechanical particle was shown to be 2 in [24]. For a curve whose length is l as measured by an instrument of resolution Δx, Hausdorff introduced a new notion of length, L_H as [24]

$$L_H = l(\Delta x)^{D_H - 1} \tag{2}$$

Here, L_H is required to be independent of Δx. This fixes the parameter D_H uniquely. This value of D_H is called the Hausdorff dimension of the fractal curve or path of the quantum particle. This study has been generalised to the case of a particle governed by relativistic quantum mechanics in [27]. For the relativistic particle, the path was defined in [27], in terms of Newton-Wigner operator [28]. It was shown that the Hausdorff dimension in the ultra-relativistic limit is 1, where as in the non-relativistic limit, this dimension is 2, as obtained by [24]. It was further shown in [27] that the length of the path as well as the Hausdorff dimension of the path is independent of the spinorial structure of the relativistic particle. In [27], the Hausdorff dimension for a relativistic particle is shown to be 1. Thus we see that the Hausdorff dimension in non-relativistic regime (which is 2) is reduced to one by relativistic effects, in the commutative space-time.

In [20], the approach of [24] has been adapted for calculating the Hausdorff dimension of a quantum space-time. The quantum nature of space-time was brought in by the introduction of a minimal length, which prevents localisation of particles below this length. The introduction of this minimal length changes the measure of integration in a non-commutative space-time. This change does modify the expectation value of the position operator calculated on such quantum space-time. It was shown in [20] that the Hausdorff dimension of the path is 2 as long as the resolution Δx of the measurement is much above the intrinsic minimum length associated with the quantum space-time. But if the resolution is much below this minimal length, the Hausdorff dimension D_H is $1 - d$, where 'd' is the topological dimension of the space-time. It was shown that the quantum nature of the space-time reduces the Hausdorff dimension from 2, it can be zero or even negative [20].

In this paper, we study the Hausdorff dimension of the path of a particle moving in the κ-deformed space-time defined by eqn.(1). Note that the deformation parameter 'a' has length dimension, and sets a minimum length scale below which one cannot probe or localise the particle. This length scale should also restrict the minimum value of the resolution of the measurement of position of the particle. These effects of deformation incorporated in our analysis is through the parameter 'a' by using most general κ-deformed wave function in calculating the position expectation value of the particle moving in κ-space-time. We then generalise this method to study the Hausdorff dimension of path of a relativistic particle, governed by κ-deformed Dirac equation [29]. Applying the self-similarity criterion on the path of non-relativistic and relativistic particle on non-commutative space-time, we then derive generalised uncertainty relation valid up to first order in the deformation parameter.

In the non-relativistic case, we find that the Hausdorff dimension of the quantum path decreases with increase in the deformation parameter. In the relativistic case, we find that the spinor nature of the wave function plays a crucial role, unlike in the commutative space-time. Also, in this case, we find that the Hausdorff dimension increases with a in the ultrarelativistic limit. Thus, contrary to commutative case, relativistic effects leads to an increase in D_H in the non-commutative space-time. In the non-relativistic limit of quantum regime D_H is discontinuous at $a = 3.639 \times 10^{-13} m$. In this case, D_H first increases with increase in the deformation parameter a and diverges as $a \to 3.639 \times 10^{-13} m$. We also note that above $a = 3.639 \times 10^{-13} m$, D_H is negative and as a decreases from higher value, D_H decreases and diverges to $-\infty$ at $3.639 \times 10^{-13} m$ (see Fig.2). This result is entirely in contrast with what we obtained in non-relativistic case. This difference is due to the spinorial nature of the wave function of relativistic particle.

Consider a particle moving in the κ-spacetime. Its path is constructed by measuring the position expectation value of the particle, with resolution Δx, at different times t_0, $t_1 = t_0 + \Delta t$, ..., $t_N = t_0 + N\Delta t$, and joining these points by straight lines. The average distance travelled by the particle in time Δt is $\langle \Delta l \rangle$, and

the total distance travelled in time $T = N\Delta t$ is

$$\langle l\rangle = N\langle \Delta l\rangle, \tag{3}$$

where N is the number of intervals. It should be noted that, this measurement of length will depend on the spatial resolution Δx of the measuring instrument and also on the deformation of spacetime characterised by the deformation parameter 'a'.

The wave function of a quantum particle in κ-spacetime is of the form

$$\Psi_{\Delta x,a}(\mathbf{x},\Delta t) = \frac{(\Delta x+a)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\hbar^3}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}} f\left(\frac{|\mathbf{p}|(\Delta x+a)}{\hbar}\right) e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}\mathbf{p}.\mathbf{x}} e^{-i\frac{|\mathbf{p}|^2\Delta t}{2\tilde{m}\hbar}}, \tag{4}$$

where $\tilde{m}$ is the modified mass of the particle due to κ-deformation [30–32] and depends on the realization of κ-space-time coordinate. As shown below, Hausdorff dimension is independent of the mass in the non-relativistic case where as it depends on the mass in relativistic case. In writing this wave function, we have used the fact that particles position is localized within a region of size Δx and in addition there is a modification due to the deformation of space-time which is incorporated through 'a' dependence of the wave function. Note that in the commutative limit (i.e., $a \to 0$), we get back the wave function in the commutative space [24]. We next calculate the average distance travelled by the particle Δl in time Δt and using this find the total distance travelled in finite time $T = N\Delta t$. For this, we first restrict to path of particle with zero average momentum (i.e.,in the classical limit, the particle is at rest).

Using the redefinition $\mathbf{k} = \frac{\mathbf{p}(\Delta x+a)}{\hbar}$, the above wave function in eqn.(4) is re-written as

$$\Psi_{\Delta x,a}(\mathbf{x},\Delta t) = \frac{1}{(\Delta x+a)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}} f(|\mathbf{k}|) e^{i\mathbf{k}.\frac{\mathbf{x}}{(\Delta x+a)}} e^{-i|\mathbf{k}|^2\frac{\hbar\Delta t}{2\tilde{m}(\Delta x+a)^2}}. \tag{5}$$

Now we calculate average distance travelled by the particle in time Δt as

$$\langle \Delta l\rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3x|\mathbf{x}||\Psi_{\Delta x,a}(\mathbf{x},\Delta t)|^2. \tag{6}$$

Substituting (5) in (6) and letting $\mathbf{y} = \frac{\mathbf{x}}{\Delta x+a}$, one obtains

$$\langle \Delta l\rangle = (\Delta x+a)\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3y|\mathbf{y}|\left|\int\frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}} f(|\mathbf{k}|) e^{i\mathbf{k}.\mathbf{y}} e^{-i|\mathbf{k}|^2\frac{\hbar\Delta t}{2\tilde{m}(\Delta x+a)^2}}\right|^2. \tag{7}$$

With $f(|\mathbf{k}|) = Ae^{-(1+\frac{a^2}{\Delta x^2})|\mathbf{k}|^2}$, performing k integration in the above equation, we find

$$\langle \Delta l\rangle = (\Delta x+a)\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3y|\mathbf{y}|\frac{A^2}{8}\frac{1}{(\alpha^2+\beta^2)^{3/2}} e^{-\frac{\alpha|\mathbf{y}|^2}{2(\alpha^2+\beta^2)}} \tag{8}$$

where A is the normalisation constant of the function $f(|\mathbf{k}|)$, $\alpha = (1+\frac{a^2}{\Delta x^2})$ and $\beta = \frac{\hbar\Delta t}{2\tilde{m}(\Delta x+a)^2}$. This choice of $f(|\mathbf{k}|)$ incooperates the modification of the integration measure [20], to take into account the feature of

minimal length associated with quantum space-time. Re-expressing the above equation in polar coordinate, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \Delta l \rangle &= (\Delta x + a)\frac{A^2}{8}\frac{1}{(\alpha^2+\beta^2)^{3/2}}\int_0^\infty dr 4\pi r^2 r e^{-\frac{r^2\alpha}{2(\alpha^2+\beta^2)}} \\ &= A^2\pi(\Delta x + a)\frac{\sqrt{\alpha^2+\beta^2}}{\alpha^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Following eqn.(2), we find the Hausdorff length for the quantum path as

$$\langle L_H \rangle \propto \Delta x^{D_H-1}\frac{T\hbar}{\tilde{m}(\Delta x + a)}\frac{\sqrt{1+\left(\frac{2\tilde{m}(\Delta x+a)^2}{\hbar\Delta t}\right)^2\left[1+\frac{a^2}{\Delta x^2}\right]^2}}{[1+\frac{a^2}{\Delta x^2}]^2}. \tag{10}$$

When $(\Delta x + a) \ll \sqrt{\hbar\Delta t/2\tilde{m}}$ the above equation reduces to

$$\langle L_H \rangle \propto \Delta x^{D_H-2}\frac{T\hbar}{\tilde{m}(1+\frac{a}{\Delta x})}\frac{1}{[1+\frac{a^2}{\Delta x^2}]^2} \tag{11}$$

The condition that $\langle L_H \rangle$ is independent of Δx gives us

$$D_H = 2 - \frac{a}{a+\Delta x} - \frac{4a^2}{\Delta x^2 + a^2}, \tag{12}$$

which in the commutative limit (a $\to$ 0) reduces to 2, as expected [24]. Note that Hausdorff dimension D_H is independent of the mass of the particle $\tilde{m}$. For $a \ll \Delta x$, we obtain $D_H \simeq 2$, which coincides with the result in the commutative space. But for $\Delta x \ll a$, $D_H = 2 - (1+\frac{\Delta x}{a})^{-1} - 4(1+(\frac{\Delta x}{a})^2)^{-1} \simeq -3 + \frac{\Delta x}{a}$. i.e., below the minimal length a, we obtain Hausdorff dimension of the path of the quantum particle as approximately -3.

Taking Δx to be the Compton wavelength of electron, i.e., $\Delta x = 2.426 \times 10^{-12} m$; we plot Hausdorff dimension as a function of a in Fig.[1]. From this figure, we see that in non-commutative space-time the Hausdorff dimension is always smaller than 2 and decreases with increasing deformation parameter a. The Hausdorff dimension attains negative value after a certain point.

FIG. 1: Hausdorff dimension as a function of a.



We know that in classical physics the Hausdorff dimension is always one and for a non-relativistic quatum particle it is greater than one [24, 27]. By requiring $D_H \geq 0$, we naturally obtain an upper bound on the deformation parameter which is of the order of $10^{-12}m$. For the case when $a \ll \Delta x$, we find that the Hausdorff dimension is less than 2 and for $a \gg \Delta x$ it is found that D_H is -3. If the deformation is of the order of resolution (i.e., $a \simeq \Delta x$), we obtain D_H as -0.5 (see enq.12). Hausdorff dimension attaining zero or negative values, signals lose of meaning of space-time. This feature was also noted in [20] for a quantum space-time. Similar behaviour of spectral dimension was noted for non-commutative space-time and in particular κ-space-time [15–17].

Next we consider particle with non-zero average momentum (i.e., in the classical limit, the particle is moving) and calculate the Hausdorff dimension of its path. The wave function of a quantum particle with average momentum $\mathbf{p}_{av}$ in deformed space-time is given by

$$\Psi_{\Delta x,a}(\mathbf{x},\Delta t) = \frac{(\Delta x+a)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\hbar^3}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}}f\left(\frac{|\mathbf{p}|(\Delta x+a)}{\hbar}\right)e^{i\frac{(\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{p}_{av}).\mathbf{x}}{\hbar}}e^{-i\frac{|\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{p}_{av}|^2\Delta t}{2\tilde{m}\hbar}}. \tag{13}$$

Proceeding as in the previous section with $\mathbf{y} = \frac{\mathbf{x}}{a+\Delta x} - \frac{\Delta t}{\tilde{m}(\Delta x+a)}\mathbf{p}_{av}$ and $f(|\mathbf{k}|) = Ae^{-(1+\frac{a^2}{\Delta x^2})|\mathbf{k}|^2}$, we find the length of the quantum path in Δt time as

$$\langle\Delta l\rangle = (a+\Delta x)\int d^3y\left|\mathbf{y}+\frac{\Delta t}{\tilde{m}(a+\Delta x)}\mathbf{p}_{av}\right|\left|\int\frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}}Ae^{-|\mathbf{k}|^2}e^{-i|\mathbf{k}|^2[\frac{\hbar\Delta t}{2\tilde{m}(a+\Delta x)^2}-i\frac{a^2}{\Delta x^2}]}e^{i\mathbf{k}.\mathbf{y}}\right|^2 \tag{14}$$

Using the redefinition

$$\frac{\hbar\Delta t}{2\tilde{m}(a+\Delta x)^2} - i\frac{a^2}{\Delta x^2} = b, \tag{15}$$

where b is demanded to be a constant, eqn.(14) takes the form

$$\langle\Delta l\rangle = \frac{\Delta t|\mathbf{p}_{av}|}{\tilde{m}}\int d^3y\left|\frac{\mathbf{p}_{av}}{|\mathbf{p}_{av}|}+\frac{\hbar\mathbf{y}}{2|\mathbf{p}_{av}|(a+\Delta x)[b+i\frac{a^2}{\Delta x^2}]}\right|\left|\int\frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}}Ae^{-|\mathbf{k}|^2}e^{-ib|\mathbf{k}|^2}e^{i\mathbf{k}.\mathbf{y}}\right|^2. \tag{16}$$

From this, using the definition of Hausdorff length ($\langle L_H\rangle$) in eqn.(2), we find

$$\langle L_H\rangle = (\Delta x)^{D_H-1}\frac{T|\mathbf{p}_{av}|}{\tilde{m}}\int d^3y\left|\frac{\mathbf{p}_{av}}{|\mathbf{p}_{av}|}+\frac{\hbar\mathbf{y}}{2|\mathbf{p}_{av}|(a+\Delta x)[b+i\frac{a^2}{\Delta x^2}]}\right|\left|\int\frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}}Ae^{-|\mathbf{k}|^2}e^{-ib|\mathbf{k}|^2}e^{i\mathbf{k}.\mathbf{y}}\right|^2. \tag{17}$$

For the case, $\Delta x \gg \frac{\hbar}{|\mathbf{p}_{av}|}$ (i.e., the resolution is much greater than the particle's wavelength), the Hausdorff length $\langle L_H\rangle$ is proportional to $(\Delta x)^{D_H-1}$ which sets Hausdorff dimension to be 1, as in the classical case. In this limit, D_H is independent of a.

When $\Delta x \ll \frac{\hbar}{|\mathbf{p}_{av}|}$, we can neglect the term $\frac{\mathbf{p}_{av}}{|\mathbf{p}_{av}|}$ in comparison with the other terms in eqn.(17). With $b = b_1 + ib_2$, we obtain

$$\langle L_H\rangle \propto \frac{(\Delta x)^{D_H-2}}{(1+\frac{a}{\Delta x})}\frac{1}{\sqrt{b_1^2+(b_2+\frac{a^2}{\Delta x^2})^2}}$$

which gives the Hausdorff dimension as

$$D_H = 2 - \frac{a}{a+\Delta x} - \frac{2\frac{a^2}{\Delta x^2}(b_2 + \frac{a^2}{\Delta x^2})}{[b_1^2 + (b_2 + \frac{a^2}{\Delta x^2})^2]}. \tag{18}$$

Note that, in the commutative limit we get $D_H = 2$. From the above discussion, for path of a non-relativistic quantum particle, one can see that Hausdorff dimension is not an integer, and also it depends on deformation parameter a as well as on resolution Δx. There are two 'a' dependent correction terms in eqn.(18). For $a \ll \Delta x$ we find $D_H = 2$, which coincides with the commutative result. For $a \gg \Delta x$, we obtain $D_H = -1$. We also note that, D_H for a particle with non-vanishing average momentum depends on the mass of the particle through b (see eqn.(15)).

We will next calculate the effect of non-commutativity in Hausdorff dimension for relativistic Dirac particle in κ-space-time. The κ-deformed Dirac Hamiltonian, upto first order in a is [29]

$$H_{DNC} \;=\; H_D + \frac{ac}{2\hbar}p^2, \tag{19}$$

where $H_D = c\mathbf{p}.\alpha + \beta mc^2$. In the Dirac representation α and β are gives as

$$\alpha_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_i \\ \sigma_i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \beta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{20}$$

where σ_i are the Pauli matrices.

Since we want to restrict our calculation to deal with only observables, we will be working in Foldy-Wouthuysen representation(FW-representation) [33]. The change from the Dirac representation to FW-representation is achieved by a unitary transformation implemented by

$$U = \frac{\beta H_D + E_p}{\sqrt{2E_p(mc^2 + E_p)}} \tag{21}$$

where $E_p = (p^2c^2 + m^2c^4)^{1/2}$. The Hamiltonian in Foldy-Wouthuysen representation obtained by applying the above unitary transformation is

$$H_{FWNC} = UH_{DNC}U^\dagger = E_p\beta + \frac{ac}{2\hbar}p^2, \tag{22}$$

where H_{DNC} is given in eqn.(19). The modified position operator, $\mathbf{X}$ compatible with the Foldy-Wouthuysen representation, known as Newton-Wigner operator [33] is

$$\mathbf{X} = U\mathbf{x}U^\dagger. \tag{23}$$

Note that $\mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{p}$ satisfy the same commutation relation as $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{p}$, and thus we find $\Delta X \geq \hbar/\Delta p$. This X satisfies the Ehrenfest equation

$$\frac{d^2X^2}{dt^2} \;=\; -\hbar^{-2}[H_{DNC}, [H_{DNC}, X^2]] \tag{24}$$

which governes its time evolution.

Using (22) and (23) in (24), we obtain the equation for X^2 as

$$\frac{d^2X^2}{dt^2} = \frac{2c^4p^2}{E_p^2} + \frac{4a}{\hbar}\frac{c^3p^2}{E_p^2}H_D. \tag{25}$$

By solving the above equation, we obtain the average value of X^2 at time t as

$$\langle X^2\rangle_t = c^4\langle\frac{p^2}{E_p^2}\rangle_0 t^2 + \frac{2ac^3}{\hbar}\langle\frac{p^2}{E_p^2}H_D\rangle_0 t^2 + \langle\frac{dX^2}{dt}\rangle_0 t + \langle X^2\rangle_0. \tag{26}$$

where subscript 0 on terms in RHS stands for values at t=0. The average length $\langle\Delta l\rangle$ travelled by the particle during the time interval $\Delta t \gg \langle\frac{dX^2}{dt}\rangle_0/(c^4\langle\frac{p^2}{E_p^2}\rangle_0)$ will be

$$\langle\Delta l\rangle_{\Delta t} \;=\; (\langle X^2\rangle_{\Delta t} - \langle X^2\rangle_0)^{1/2} = c^2\Delta t\langle\frac{p^2}{E_p^2}\rangle_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\left[1 + \frac{2a}{\hbar c}\frac{\langle\frac{p^2}{E_p^2}H_D\rangle_0}{\langle\frac{p^2}{E_p^2}\rangle_0}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{27}$$

Note that eqn.(27) involves expectation value of H_D. Thus above expression for $\langle\Delta l\rangle$ shows that the distance travelled by the relativistic quantum particle depends on the spinorial character of the wave function. This should be contrasted with the commutative space-time result [27], where the spinorial structure of the relativistic wave function does not play any role in the calculation of Hausdorff dimension. This is due to the fact that, in the commutative space $\langle\Delta l\rangle_{\Delta t}$ does not involve expectation value of H_D and thus the result is independent of the spinorial nature of the wave function [27]. But here, we see that the spinorial nature of wave function comes into effect in the non-commutative space-time. Note that in the commutative limit, i.e., $a \to 0$, the $\langle\Delta l\rangle_{\Delta t}$ is independent of H_D and thus all dependence of spinorial wave function drops out. In the momentum representation, the wave function of positive energy, spin up particle, compatible with non-commutative space-time (with minimal length given by a) is of the form

$$\Psi(p)_{NC} = \sqrt{\frac{E_p + mc^2}{2E_p}}\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \frac{c}{E_p+mc^2}p_z \\ \frac{c}{E_p+mc^2}(p_x + ip_y) \end{pmatrix}\sqrt{\frac{3}{4\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\Delta p} + \frac{a}{\hbar}\right)^{3/2},$$
$$for \mid p - p_0 \mid < \frac{\hbar\Delta p}{(\hbar + a\Delta p)} \tag{28}$$

Using this wave function we obtain the average length $\langle\Delta l\rangle$ travelled by the particle as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle \Delta l \rangle_{\Delta t} &= c^2 \Delta t \left[\langle \frac{p^2}{E_p^2} \rangle_0 + \frac{2a}{\hbar c} \langle \frac{p^2}{E_p^2} H_D \rangle_0 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&= c\Delta t \{ 1 - \frac{3}{4} \left(1 + \frac{a\Delta p}{\hbar} \right)^3 x^2 (\frac{2\hbar}{a\Delta p + \hbar} - 2x [\arctan \left(\frac{\frac{\hbar}{(a\Delta p + \hbar)} y + 1}{xy} \right) \\
&+ \arctan \left(\frac{\frac{\hbar}{(a\Delta p + \hbar)} y - 1}{xy} \right)] + \frac{1}{2} \left[y \left((\frac{\hbar}{(a\Delta p + \hbar)})^2 + x^2 \right) - \frac{1}{y} \right] \\
&\times \log \left(\frac{(1 + \frac{\hbar y}{a\Delta p + \hbar})^2 + x^2 y^2}{(1 - \frac{\hbar y}{a\Delta p + \hbar})^2 + x^2 y^2} \right)) + \frac{a}{\hbar} \frac{1}{(\Delta p)^3 p_0} (\frac{-9}{8} p_0 m^4 c^4 [\log(-p_0 + \sqrt{p_0^2 + m^2 c^2}) \\
&+ \log(p_0 + \sqrt{p_0^2 + m^2 c^2})] + \frac{1}{40} [\sqrt{(p_0 - \Delta p)^2 + m^2 c^2} (2p_0^4 - 11 p_0^2 m^2 c^2 + 32 m^4 c^4 \\
&+ 2p_0^3 \Delta p - 13 p_0 m^2 c^2 \Delta p - 18 p_0^2 \Delta p^2 + 24 m^2 c^2 \Delta p^2 + 22 \Delta p^3 p_0 - 8 \Delta p^4) \\
&+ \sqrt{(p_0 + \Delta p)^2 + m^2 c^2} (-2p_0^4 + 11 p_0^2 m^2 c^2 - 32 m^4 c^4 + 2p_0^3 \Delta p - 13 p_0 m^2 c^2 \Delta p \\
&+ 18 p_0^2 \Delta p^2 - 24 m^2 c^2 \Delta p^2 + 22 \Delta p^3 p_0 + 8 \Delta p^4)] + \frac{9}{8} p_0 m^4 c^4 \\
&\times [\log(-(p_0 - \Delta p) + \sqrt{(p_0 - \Delta p)^2 + m^2 c^2}) + \log((p_0 + \Delta p) + \sqrt{(p_0 + \Delta p)^2 + m^2 c^2})]) \}^{1/2}
\end{aligned}
\tag{29}
$$

where $x = mc/\Delta p$, $y = \Delta p / p_0$.

From eqn.(29), in the commutative limit ($a \to 0$), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle \Delta l \rangle_{\Delta t} &= c\Delta t \Big\{ 1 - \frac{3}{4} x^2 \Big(2 - 2x \left[\arctan \left(\frac{y-1}{xy} \right) + \arctan \left(\frac{y+1}{xy} \right) \right] \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} [y(1 + x^2) - y^{-1}] \log \left(\frac{(y+1)^2 + x^2 y^2}{(y-1)^2 + x^2 y^2} \right) \Big) \Big\}^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}
\tag{30}
$$

which is same as result obtained in [27].

For $\Delta p \ll p_0$, i.e., in the classical limit, eqn.(29) reduces to

$$
\langle \Delta l \rangle_{\Delta t}^{cl} = c\Delta t \left[\frac{p_0^2}{(p_0^2 + m^2 c^2)} + \frac{2a}{\hbar} \frac{p_0^2}{\sqrt{(p_0^2 + m^2 c^2)}} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} . \tag{31}
$$

This quantity is independent of ΔX. So the Hausdorff dimension D_H is one as in the commutative case [27].

For the case when $p_0 \ll \Delta p$, we consider two regimes, i.e.,

- $\Delta p \ll mc$ (non-relativistic limit of quantum regime). In this case, eqn.(29) reduces to

$$
\langle \Delta l \rangle_{\Delta t}^{QNR} = c\Delta t \left[\frac{3}{5} \left(\frac{\Delta p}{mc} \right)^2 \left(\frac{\hbar}{a\Delta p + \hbar} \right)^2 - \frac{3a}{8\hbar} \left(\frac{6m^3 c^3}{(\Delta p)^2} + 2mc \right) \right]^{1/2} . \tag{32}
$$

From this expression, we find the Hausdorff dimension to be

$$D_H = 1 + \frac{\frac{3}{5}(\frac{\hbar}{mc})^2 \frac{\Delta X}{(a+\Delta X)^3} + \frac{9}{4}a(\frac{mc}{\hbar})^3(\Delta X)^2}{\left[\frac{3}{5}(\frac{\hbar}{mc})^2 \frac{1}{(a+\Delta X)^2} - \frac{9}{4}a(\frac{mc}{\hbar})^3(\Delta X)^2 - \frac{3}{4}\frac{amc}{\hbar}\right]}. \tag{33}$$

We note that Hausdorff dimension depends on the mass of the particle, unlike the non-relativistic case (with $\mathbf{p}_{av} = 0$) or the commutative case. Setting $\Delta X = \lambda_c$ (Compton wavelength of electron $\frac{\hbar}{mc}$), we plot D_H against a in Fig.2.

FIG. 2: Hausdorff dimension as a function of a.



From the figure, we see that the Hausdorff dimension increases rapidly until a approaches value of the order of 10^{-13} (for $\lambda_c = 2.426 \times 10^{-12}m$). At this point, the Hausdorff dimension blows up by approaching positive infinity and abruptly moves to negative infinity such that it becomes lesser negative for higher values of deformation parameter.

- $\Delta p \gg mc$ (Ultrarelativistic case). In this case, eqn.(29) become

$$\langle \Delta l \rangle^{QR}_{\Delta t} = c\Delta t \left[1 + \frac{3}{2}\frac{a\Delta p}{\hbar}\right]^{1/2} \tag{34}$$

and the corresponding D_H is

$$D_H = 1 + \frac{1}{2}\frac{3a}{(2\Delta X + 3a)}. \tag{35}$$

In the commutative space, the length travelled by the relativistic particle is independent of the resolution ΔX. So the Hausdorff dimension is always one for the case of relativistic particle[27]. In our case, we see that the Hausdorff dimension depends on deformation parameter a as well as resolution ΔX, and it is always greater than one. This modification is due to the non-commutative nature of space-time. Also, note that H_D is independent of the mass of the particle.

In the commutative limit, one can see that the distance travelled by the ultrarelativistic particle is independent of Δp (or ΔX). Hence Hausdorff dimension is always one in this limit.

FIG. 3: Hausdorff dimension as a function of a.



Hausdorff dimension given in eqn.(35) as a function of deformation parameter is plotted in Fig.3. Here, we can see that the Hausdorff dimension increases with increase in deformation parameter and its value is always greater than one.

In contrast to commutative case [27], we see that the non-commutative nature of space-time results in an increase in the Hausdorff dimension. In the ultra-relativistic limit, Hausdorff dimension increases with a while in the non-relativistic limit D_H increases as a increases and diverges to infinity. Beyond this critical value of a, Hausdorff dimension is negative as shown in Fig.2.

In [24, 27], it was shown that the self-similarity condition when applied to the path of the quantum particle, naturally leads to Heisenberg's uncertainty relation. Thus it is natural to anticipate that the requirement of self-similarity applied to path of a particle on non-commutative space-time would lead to modified uncertainty relation compatible with non-commutative space-time. The path of a quantum-mechanical particle will be self similar if $\langle \Delta l \rangle \propto \Delta x$. By demanding self-similarity for the path of a non-relativistic quantum particle (see eqn.(9)), along with the condition $(\Delta x + a) \ll \sqrt{\frac{\hbar \Delta t}{2\tilde{m}}}$, we get the uncertainty in time as

$$\Delta t \simeq \frac{\tilde{m}}{\hbar}(\Delta x)^2(1 + \frac{a}{\Delta x})(1 + \frac{a^2}{\Delta x^2})^2. \tag{36}$$

Using this along with $\Delta E \sim \frac{(\Delta p)^2}{\tilde{m}}$ in $\Delta E\ \Delta t \geq \hbar$, we obtain the deformed uncertainty relation as

$$\Delta x\ \Delta p(1 + \frac{a^2}{\Delta x^2})\sqrt{1 + \frac{a}{\Delta x}} \geq \hbar. \tag{37}$$

Keeping terms upto first order in a, we write the modified uncertainty relation in the form

$$\Delta x\ \Delta p(1 + \frac{a}{2\Delta x}) \geq \hbar. \tag{38}$$

Following a similar procedure, using eqn.(32), for a spin half particle in the non-relativistic limit, we arrive at a modified uncertainty relation

$$\Delta X\ \Delta p\ \ (1.136)\ \ \left[1 + \frac{a}{2\Delta X} + \frac{5}{16}a\Delta X^2\left(\frac{mc}{\hbar}\right)^3\left(1 + 3\left(\frac{mc}{\hbar}\right)^2 \Delta X^2\right)\right] \geq \hbar. \tag{39}$$

The corresponding uncertainty relation for a spin half particle in the relativistic regime ($\Delta E = c\Delta p$), derived from eqn.(34) is

$$\Delta X\, \Delta p(1 - \frac{3}{4}\frac{a}{\Delta X}) \geq \hbar. \tag{40}$$

Note that eqn.(38) and eqn.(40) show the generalised uncertainty relation, valid upto first order in a is of the form $\Delta X\ \Delta p\ f\left(\frac{a}{\Delta X}\right) \geq \hbar$. The modified uncertainty relation obtained for non-relativistic limit of relativistic particle have other a dependent terms apart from the $\frac{a}{\Delta X}$ term. All these modified relations, in the commutative limit reduce to well known Heisenberg's uncertainty relation. Since the non-relativistic limit of relativistic path retains the information about the spinorial nature of the particle, it is natural that the result derived from this limit given in eqn.(32) is different from the result obtained by analysing the eqn.(9) for non-relativistic particle directly. Generalised uncertainty relations and their implications have been studied in [34–36]. Generalised uncertainty relation for a specific realisation of κ-deformed space-time has been discussed in [37], where the modified uncertainty relation was found to have a mass dependence. Here, we see that the self-similarity condition imposed on path of particle on non-commutative space-time leads to modified uncertainty relation.

In this paper, we have calculated the Hausdorff dimension for a quantum particle moving in a non-commutative space-time. For a non-relativistic quantum particle, we found that the Hausdorff dimension is decreasing with deformation parameter a for a fixed resolution Δx and obtain an upper cutoff for the deformation parameter ($a < 10^{-12}m$) by demanding $D_H \geq 0$. An interesting result of this paper is that the path travelled by a relativistic quantum particle depends on the spinorial character of the wave function, unlike in the commutative space-time. It also depends on the mass of the particle for the relativistic case as well as for the non-relativistic case with $\mathbf{p}_{av} \neq 0$. We also note that the Hausdorff dimension of path of a relativistic particle is larger than one in the κ-deformed space-time which should be contrasted with the value of D_H in the commutative space where it is always one. We have also derived generalised uncertainty relation, by imposing self-similarity condition on the path of the quantum particle studied in this paper.

Acknowledgements: AV thanks UGC, India, for support through BSR scheme. EH thanks SERB, Govt. of India, for support through EMR/2015/000622.

---

[1] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, String Theory and Noncommutative Geometry, JHEP, **9909** (1999) 032.

[2] O. Lauscher and M. Reuter, Fractal spacetime structure in asymptotically safe gravity, J. High Energy Phys. **10** (2005) 050.

[3] S. Doplicher, K. Fredenhagen and J. E. Roberts, The quantum structure of spacetime at the Planck scale and quantum fields, Commun. Math. Phys. **172** (1995) 187.

[4] J. Lukierski, A. Nowicki and H. Ruegg, New quantum Poincare algebra and κ-deformed field theory Phys. Lett. B **293** (1992) 344.

[5] J. Lukierski and H. Ruegg, Quantum κ-Poincare in any dimensions, Phys. Lett. B **329** (1994) 189.

[6] L. Modesto, Fractal Structure of Loop Quantum Gravity, Class. Quant. Grav. **26** (2009) 242002.

[7] J. Ambjorn, J. Jurkeiwicz and R. Loll, Spcetral Dimension of the Universe, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95** (2005) 171301.

[8] G. 't Hooft, Dimensional Reduction in Quantum Gravity, THU-93/26.

[9] S. Carlip, Spontaneous Dimensional Reduction in Short-Distance Quantum Gravity?, AIP Conf. Proc. **1196** (2009) 72.

[10] J. Ambjorn and Y. Watabiki, Scaling in quantum gravity, Nucl. Phys. B **445** (1995) 129.

[11] D. Benedetti, Fractal properties of quantum space-time, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102** (2009) 111303.

[12] M. Arzano and T. Trzesniewski, Diffusion on κ-Minkowski space, Phys. Rev. D **89** (2014) 124024.

[13] L. Modesto and P. Nicolini, Spectral dimension of a quantum universe, Phys. Rev. D **81** (2010) 104040.

[14] L. Modesto, Super-renormalizable quantum gravity, Phys. Rev. D **86** (2011) 044005.

[15] Anjana V. and E. Harikumar, Spectral dimension of κ-deformed space-time, Phys. Rev. D **91** (2015) 065026.

[16] Anjana V. and E. Harikumar, Dimensional flow in the kappa-deformed space-time, Phys. Rev. D **92** (2015) 045014.

[17] Anjana V., Diffusion in κ-deformed space and Spectral Dimension, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **31** (2016) 1650056.

[18] G. Calcagni, Diffusion in multiscale spacetimes, Phys. Rev. E **87** (2013) 012123.

[19] G. Calcagni, Multiscale spacetimes from first principles, Phys. Rev. D **95** (2017) 064057.

[20] P. Nicolini and B. Niedner, Hausdorff dimension of a particle path in quantum manifold, Phys. Rev. D **83** (2011) 024017.

[21] G. Amelino-Camelia, L. Smolin and A. Starodubtsev, Quantum symmetry, the cosmological constant and Planck scale phenomenology, Class. Quant. Grav. **21** (2004) 3095.

[22] J. Kowalski-Glikman and S. Nowak, Non-commutative space-time of doubly special relativity theories, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **12** (2003) 299.

[23] G. Amelino-Camelia, M. Arzano, G. Gubitosi and J. Magueijo, Planck-scale dimensional reduction without a preferred frame, Phys. Lett. B **736** (2014) 317.

[24] L. F. Abbott and M. B. Wise, Dimension of a quantum-mechanical path, Am. J. Phys. **49** (1981) 37.

[25] W. Hurewicz and H. Wallman, Diemnsional Theory (Princeton University, Princeton, N.J., 1941).

[26] B. B. Mandlebrot, Fractals: Form, Chances and Dimension (Freeman, San Francisco, 1977).

[27] F. Cannata and L. Ferrari, Dimension of relativistic quantum mechanical paths, Am. J. Phys. **56** (1988).

[28] T. D. Newton and E. P. Wigner, Localized states of elementary systems, Rev. Mod. Phys **21** (1949) 450.

[29] E. Harikumar, M. Sivakumar and N. Srinivas, κ-deformed Dirac equation, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **26** (2011) 1103.

[30] E. Harikumar and A. K. Kapoor, Newton's Equation on the kappa space-time and the Kepler problem, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **25** (2010) 2991.

[31] P. Guha, E. Harikumar and N. S. Zuhair, MICZ Kepler Systems in Noncommutative Space and Duality of Force Laws, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **29** (2014) 1450187.

[32] S. Meljanac and M. Stojic, New realizations of Lie algebra kappa-deformed Euclidean space, Eur. Phys. J. C **47** (2006) 531.

[33] A. Messiah, Quantum Mechanics (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1970).

[34] S. Das and E. C. Vagenas, Phenomenological Implications of the Generalized Uncertainty Principle, Can. J. Phys. **87** (2009) 233.

[35] F. Scardigli and R. Casadio, Gravitational tests of the Generalized Uncertainty Principle, Eur. Phys. J. C **75** (2015) 425.

[36] M. Park, The Generalized Uncertainty Principle in (A)dS Space and the Modification of Hawking Temperature from the Minimal Length, Phys. Lett. B **659** (2008) 698.

[37] E. Harikumar, T. Juric and S. Meljanac, Geodesic equation in κ-Minkowski spacetime, Phys. Rev. D **86** (2012) 045002.